

This comprehensive account by Miguel Duque and Anna Johnson Duque is fully true as we know it. For background, we were among the first Mises Caucus organizers to take over our state party, we were instrumental in helping the caucus do so in other states, and our level of involvement gave us front row seats to observe every detail of the slow excruciating train wreck of internal strife and repeat unforced errors both within the Mises Caucus since before Reno and within the LNC since then. To anyone who would accuse us of putting the Libertarian Party at risk, or Miguel of violating his duty to the organization by telling the truth: we believe the massive opportunity of the Takeover / Reno Reset has thus far been utterly squandered, that this Party is being severely injured by the avoidable mistakes by leadership outlined here, that it's the board and Angela who have put the organization in peril and will continue to do so with their inability to lead, and that the members will be furious when they learn how little has been accomplished and how much of their money and time has been wasted. If there is any chance for the Libertarian Party to be saved, it must begin with transparency—just like the government itself. We have compiled extensive chat records to back up our claims below.

WHAT IS HAPPENING?? High-Level Summary:

- ◆ The LNC and LPHQ is suffering first and foremost from a lack of vision, which leads back to Angela McArdle. They are confused about priorities and purpose, and panicking about short-term fundraising rather than fixing the root problems or delivering value.
- ◆ They have refused to change the way things are done on the LNC, with every crucial decision politicized and stuck in procedural stalemate.
- ◆ Behind the scenes they are jockeying for control and cloaking their actions and assertions in “confidentiality” including many things that don't need to be confidential, as well as attacking Miguel for raising concerns.
- ◆ Caryn Ann Harlos (CAH) as Secretary is a massively detrimental force to the LNC; Angela has known this for years and said so extensively in private message, but to the LNC and in public has placated CAH and given her tremendous authority instead of challenging her.
- ◆ Steven Nekhaila (ExComm member and chair of the Employment Policy and Compensation Committee) has also undermined Angela to gain power for himself while creating staff dysfunction; Angela has bent the knee to him and let him get away with everything.
- ◆ Angela and others who were once consistent and determined about overhauling the party have now totally reversed their narrative and let themselves be railroaded (because Angela is afraid of getting removed). Miguel and very few others have

stayed the course. Many LNC members remain quiet about these issues. In Angela's own words, "I have an LNC that is afraid of conflict."

- ◆ **Ultimately, Angela (and most of the LNC) are putting their own public image, cults of personality, chances of being re-elected, and/or aversion to conflict over their duty to fix the problems of this Party and move the needle toward more liberty.**

TECHNOLOGY AND OPERATIONAL ISSUES

- ◆ The LNC's major mistakes with technology after Reno have crippled the Party's ability to perform the most basic tasks. LPHQ was using two CRMs, RaisersEdge for fundraising and CiviCRM for everything else, and Andy Burns (Civi admin) with the help of Dustin Nanna and others pushed to migrate off of RE and onto Civi, with the goal of removing redundancy. Instead, we lost five figures in monthly revenue, and Burns quit before completing the migration and left us with no documentation.
- ◆ Regarding the "fundraising crisis" crisis: Fundraising and membership are down for various reasons, and the data is so wrecked that it's very difficult to objectively pinpoint the real reasons or the severity, but operating expenses are down enough that there has been ample cash to pay the bills and make numerous big ticket purchases. Revenue as well as political impact will continue to suffer until LPHQ has functional data and tools, and it's a VERY expensive and time-consuming problem that the LNC apparently is not rushing to solve.
- ◆ Many staffers have quit (often when they should have been fired much sooner), and have gone without replacement, so the remaining staff is perpetually and increasingly overburdened.
- ◆ What this means is that the National Party is providing little to no value in the way of activism projects, candidate and affiliate support, etc. Ballot access prospects are worse and worse. Most of what they're doing is panic fundraising to pay their own staff to do more panic fundraising. Not delivering but begging for more money to keep doing the same. Angela is attempting to remedy this in a frenzy of scattershot project launches, but the problems will keep getting worse.

LNC COMMITTEES AND PROCEDURE

- ◆ The new LNC members despite their promises failed to overhaul *the way things are done* on the LNC, meaning that the same useless committees, the same role confusion between board and staff, and the same power politics has continued. This is largely due to the actions of Caryn Ann Harlos, Steven Nekhaila, and other

incumbents, but most of the others go along without doing anything about it, including Angela.

- ◆ The committees, of which there are many, are often aimless and unproductive. They mostly involve brainstorming ideas for projects which LPHQ is too understaffed or ill-equipped to undertake or that don't fit within a coherent overarching strategy. They're also a black hole of volunteer time where discussion and activity goes undocumented with virtually no cross-communication between committees. Other than the clashing personalities, this bloated organizational structure might be the single biggest problem causing National's dysfunction. No one has done a single thing to roll back the size and scope of the LNC and its many subcommittees; in fact Angela has created MORE useless committees.

CARYN ANN HARLOS

- ◆ Caryn Ann has a consistent pattern of dramatic grandstanding and emotional manipulation. Combined with her knowledge of the rules, this results in a working environment where the Chair, the staff, and the board are domineered and held hostage by her theatrics.
- ◆ Angela never wanted Caryn Ann Harlos as Secretary. In fact, Anna and Angela started a group chat two years ago to gather supporters quietly to prevent Caryn Ann from getting the Mises Caucus endorsement in 2022. (It pretty much died when she was removed by the previous LNC and ascended to martyr status.) Angela fought Michael Heise on this endorsement and lost.
- ◆ Angela to Anna about Caryn Ann, just in the past year alone (**direct quotes**, refer to screenshots):
 - *"She's getting unhinged again"*
 - *"I don't understand how she finds work"*
 - *"She's also really fucking rude and dramatic"*
 - *"Caryn Ann loves the sound of her own voice"*
 - *"Caryn Ann is driving me insane today. Now I don't feel confident handing her the gavel during the budget meeting. She's too biased on certain matters."*
 - *"I'm afraid she's gonna get everyone riled up. People are legit afraid to disagree with her. She's like the pink mafia. This is a shakedown."*
 - *"No one wants to be the topic of one of her shows."*
 - *"I feel like I'm one of the few that has always viewed her as cringe. I have an LNC that is afraid of conflict"*

- Anna: “Are there really people who are going to vote down Lainie’s contract because they’re afraid of CAH?” Angela “I’m afraid it’s possible ... if I find out Heise had anything to do with this I’ll lose my shit”
- “Good grief, Caryn Ann is dramatic. She’s saying that the minutes from her removal have impacted her ability to get a job. I would think the screeching videos are the bigger obstacle”
- ◆ Kathy Yeniscavich (ExComm member, EPCC member, former Region 1 Alt) to Miguel (**direct quotes**, refer to screenshots):
 - “Another whole drama is CAH modified the APRC language to empower them to have a more proactive role in PR/Comms. She told the PM Committee Angela and Lainie approved. Guess what, Lainie specifically argued against it.”
 - “TBH I don’t like the current trend of CAH making an issue where there is none. Not cool she went on about [an employee’s job title] in front of staff.”
 - “I also see a trend where this board has largely been a “yes” board. There is little dissension or contrary discussion.”
- ◆ Right after Reno, Angela was actually telling Anna “I need to use Miguel to keep Caryn Ann in check.” But despite repeated urging and support from both Miguel and Anna, Angela failed to speak up, make motions, or sway opinions and has since made Caryn Ann in charge or involved of countless authoritative roles and departments. They now in public are feigning the appearance of being in lockstep.
- ◆ Caryn Ann not only chairs the most committees, she chairs the Policy Manual Committee (editing the PM which authorizes all other committees and procedure). Many of her policy modifications have been rubber stamped, while the prospect of removing cumbersome procedure or unnecessary committees is not entertained.
- ◆ Caryn Ann, Dustin Nanna, and others have dug their heels in on the CRM problem, pressuring staff in private, amplifying threats of disaffiliation, and using parliamentary barriers. In Angela’s words, Caryn Ann has been “trying to get us all to publicly commit to CiviCRM for reasons she can’t articulate and she’s making comments about it on our behalf.” The issue continues to be unresolved 15 months later despite Miguel’s attempts.

EPCC

- ◆ Another monstrous issue is the workings of the Employment Policy and Compensation Committee, chaired by Steven Nekhaila, which is wildly out of scope. The EPCC attempts to act as an HR department taking complaints from staff and also as managers of Angela and the Executive Director, while being board members vying to steer the whole ship. They are permitted to write their

own sprawling employment policies out of thin air unless overturned by majority vote by the LNC, which has no appetite to scrutinize 7 pages of new policies. This committee has allowed a small few to exert huge political control over staffing.

- ◆ The EPCC and Steven Nekhaila have, in Angela’s words, undermined and usurped Angela and Lainie Huston (outgoing Executive Director) and their efforts, politicizing employment decisions and hobbling the operations even further.
- ◆ In March of 2023, Angela to Anna (**direct quotes**, refer to screenshots):
 - “[Dustin] shouldn’t be on the EPCC. He’s practically harassing [Lainie, trying to get her fired] ... it’s almost like a hostile work environment”
 - “Ask Miguel to whip for her. This absolutely cannot go to a vote”
- ◆ In April of 2023, Angela to Miguel (**direct quotes**, refer to screenshots):
 - “Dustin and Steven frequently miss EPCC meetings and are late. They are not good committee members for this committee. Dustin and Steven keep information from me and Kathy, and change their minds repeatedly. They seem to have a dynamic that’s a holdover from the last LNC. Keeping secrets, pitting people against each other.”
 - “I think it would be great if I could get you a little more involved in decisionmaking and driving the narrative forward ... Involvement with staff or EPCC would be really helpful”
 - “Dustin can’t make a lot of [EPCC] meetings so they just cancel them now”
 - Angela: “OK, get ready to go to war with him [Dustin Nanna, over his desire to fire Lainie]”. Miguel: “I should move to remove him from EPCC for his negligence. I was there to witness it when he and Nekhaila were like ‘gee shucks Miguel, do you think we owe Lainie an apology?’ Yeah mother fuckers, you do!” Angela: “Yes! That will help a lot. I’m trying to go on the offensive.”
 - “What I don’t get [about some who didn’t like Lainie] is that I have the same complaints about Lainie but absolutely love her. No one is perfect. It’s kinda bullshit”
- ◆ Angela to Anna in May of 2023 (**direct quotes**, refer to screenshots):
 - “Dustin and Steven are definitely moving to axe her but I’m pulling out all the stops”
 - “Lainie definitely needs a public showing of support”
 - “I think it would be reasonable for state chairs to ask for a certain amount of info/details before major personnel changes happen so they can understand/be prepared”

- *“There’s no agendas for executive session. RONR has been horribly abused for all of this BS. Caryn Ann is the enabler for that ... notice how we had no follow up to the meeting [Lainie] knocked out of the park??”*
- ◆ Yet as Miguel attempted to start voicing criticisms about Dustin and Steven, Angela backed down and called him off. Why?
- ◆ **The Special Meeting May 16**
 - In May of 2023, things came to a head when Lainie gave a long and detailed complaint to the LNC about the EPCC’s mismanagement, staff issues, and Steven Nekhaila’s actions as chair.
 - Caryn Ann on the private list attacked Lainie’s character for reporting her concerns and insisted the entire complaint itself was inappropriate.
 - Angela sent a 7-page confidential memo to the LNC titled *“Chair’s Assessment of LNC May 2023”* detailing a lot of what we summarize here.
 - Though a few members reached out to Lainie privately in support, the LNC never took up a discussion with her or engaged with her on her complaint as a body. They allowed Steven Nekhaila to call a meeting specifically when Lainie was unable to attend, and speak at his leisure essentially calling her and Angela liars.
 - Just before that emergency meeting:
 - Angela to Miguel: *“The LNC v staff culture is a real problem. I’ve had multiple convos with Caryn Ann about how Lainie is treated like a 2nd class citizen due to RONR and procedure. I hit a brick wall every time. It’s frustrating to not be able to include her in confidential threads like I would an officer.”* Miguel: *“You can just start doing it. CAH is actually fairly easily shut down and steamrolled.”* (Angela did not start doing it.)
 - Angela: *“Steven’s behavior was so wrong. Dustin has been wrong too, but Steven was over the top. I can’t imagine what Steven thinks he can say that will be damning or bad. It’s got to be the opposite.”*
 - During the meeting:
 - Angela: *“[Steven] admitted to usurping her.”* Then *“we’re gonna get drowned out here. This is going badly.”*
 - After that meeting, Carrie Eiler quit the LNC in disgust because no one was doing anything about it. No one has done anything about it since; the LNC is pretty much pretending Lainie’s letter never happened.
- ◆ At this time Miguel wanted to make a motion to at least pause the EPCC, which was proposed by Nekhaila in a confidential thread prior the the meeting, until things could get sorted out. Not a single person would even cosponsor it.

- Angela to Miguel: *“We don’t have the votes. I can’t cosponsor that right now and further lose the board. In the meantime, I’m keeping total separation between them and Lainie.”* Miguel: *“You could sponsor and abstain.”* Angela: *“I don’t think it is the right move right now. I would rather do that from a position of strength.”* Then *“I’m not backing down. I’m moving completely around EPCC for now ... I’m working on discrediting their policies and Lainie is getting HR this week. And I’m just not going to use their policies.”* Miguel: *“Remember you told me to be ready to go to war.”* Angela said *“You’ve alienated a lot of people and I don’t have enough allies right now.”*
- ◆ Caryn Ann attacked Miguel on confidentiality for simply mentioning that this motion was Nekhaila’s idea in the first place (because it was said on the private list).
- ◆ Steven Nekhaila has since perpetuated the narrative on the LNC that Lainie is the reason that everything is going wrong. Instead of seriously evaluating the EPCC, Nekhaila’s role as chair, and his presiding over total breakdown of the XD/board relationship, the LNC (and now Angela and Kathy) has adopted this narrative of blaming Lainie.
- ◆ What they have done with this **is create a situation where no one could possibly meaningfully criticize the over-politicized EPCC**, who has assumed vast power over staff management.
- ◆ Steven Nekhaila likely wants to run for chair next year against Angela, so it’s in his best interest to undermine her and elevate himself. In fact, he has said in the past that he wants to run for chair. He also greatly admires Machiavelli. (It sounds like a punchline, but it’s not.) Do you want this person as chair?

WHAT’S THE DEAL WITH LAINIE?

- ◆ We want to stress that this whole document is not because we love Lainie so much and want vengeance. Sometimes people get fired, working relationships break down, it happens. **Our concern is the abject failure of Angela and the board to acknowledge the true source of their problems and their staff’s problems. The fact that they are absolving themselves by blaming Lainie just makes it that much worse.**
- ◆ Lainie Huston is not perfect and has made mistakes, as we all have. She also has many brilliant talents that were utterly wasted by this organization. She brought all her concerns to Angela and the board, she has acted painstakingly to do things properly despite political meddling and constant board interference in her management, has extensively documented all of her systems and processes, has pulled all-nighters and missed time with friends and family to diagnose and fix

critical problems, has gone above and beyond for Angela not just as an employee but as a friend, and for her trouble was ignored, dismissed, attacked, scapegoated, and pushed out.

- ◆ Lainie and Angela now have a nondisparagement agreement. Lainie has not put either of us up to this and in fact wishes not to be mentioned in anything at all; she was not consulted on and has no knowledge of this document. Miguel signed no such agreement about what he has witnessed.

WHERE IS THE MISES CAUCUS IN ALL THIS?

- ◆ Michael Heise has been undermining Angela from the beginning, starting with insisting on backing Caryn Ann. In Angela's words, "*Mike said he didn't care about board dysfunction in the first term.*"
- ◆ After he got what he wanted, he then started lobbying with LNC members against her choice of executive director, and even with Lainie's own staff. (Michael has had a vendetta against Lainie since she was a Mises organizer and he got himself all worked up over her desire to be part of a potential Dave Smith campaign, which she was completely open about. He has a whole stupid narrative about it and can't let it go over a year later.)
- ◆ Kathy told Miguel in December: "*Angela suspects Heise is sabotaging.*" And "*I completely distrust anyone who is not a fan of Lainie ... Heise tried to poison me against her. Stuff like that undermines what little faith in Heise I have left.*" Then "*Angela really doesn't want to believe Heise is poisoning the well with Lainie. Idk if it's happening but if it is she may start to see how he can damage us.*"
- ◆ Carrie Eiler: "*Reed and Heise BOTH worked through Luke to tank Lainie. Heise poisoned Austin MC against Lainie, and Luke glommed onto that narrative ... if nobody cares about what employees are doing, that we can prove, why would anybody care what leakers did that cannot be proven?*"
- ◆ Michael Heise never really attempted to support the Party by fully utilizing volunteers and donations from the Mises Caucus. Instead, he kept them for himself, and much of the MC membership is not highly engaged in national LP efforts aside from waiting eagerly for Dave Smith to run for President.
- ◆ Some people have been leaking LNC executive session info to Michael Heise, who then pressured a state chair about what was said. As he is not on the LNC and the leader of a PAC with conflicting interests, this is completely inappropriate.
- ◆ Michael Heise has been talking up Steven Nekhaila recently; it would not at all be surprising if he tries to run Nekhaila for chair in lieu of Angela next year.

SO WHAT'S HAPPENING NOW AND WHAT'S THE DEAL WITH ANGELA?

- ◆ Angela is consistently averse to dissent or even good-faith constructive criticism and has a pattern of shutting people out when they challenge her, a trait she shares with Michael Heise. In January of 2023, here's what then Region 1 Alternate Kathy Yeniscavich said about Angela (she was trying to nominate Miguel for Vice Chair; he declined):
 - *“As the attacks and challenges increase [Angela] is turning more and more inward to rely on the caucus and what she sees as reliable. I talked to her about the VC and floated your name (sorry not sorry.) She wants Andrew. As we discussed it became obvious. You are both hard workers and you have an edge because you are more experienced in several areas, including running a meeting, but he is easy for her because he doesn't disagree or challenge her. I think the VC should be someone who challenges internally but then supports even if the decision doesn't go their way. Which is exactly what you do. I am concerned that this signals she is moving even further toward being unchallenged in the viewpoint of the incestuous caucus relationship ... I think she is blinded by this iceberg that is on the horizon and could take down the entire ship.”*
 - Kathy has now for some reason turned on Lainie as well and adopted Nekhaila's narrative.
- ◆ Because Angela does not have a strategy beyond “keep doing what the LP has always done, but better,” and lacks the courage to overhaul the organization, get Caryn Ann out of power, stop the EPCC overreach, or fix the fatal tech issues, the party has been unable to thrive. Even with the best efforts of the exec director. What person could deliver under this kind of dysfunction?
- ◆ **What changed in the past two months and why are things suddenly different?**
 - **This is crucial:** The relationship between Angela and Lainie broke down about two months ago, about the time when Angela perceived that she had lost the confidence of the board. In her words to Anna, *“I watched people flip in real time, a lot of my good will was ruined in [the May meeting], and they don't have faith in my leadership anymore.”* After this, Angela began shifting blame for a host of issues onto the executive director, reporting to the LNC every little thing her employee was purportedly doing wrong, and reversing what she said in support of Lainie for months, rather than addressing the root issues of her own leadership. **Who will be next?**
 - Angela recently re-hired Luke Troxell suddenly and without warning after he was let go by the executive director, when Angela and multiple board members were acknowledging his poor performance. Another 180 degree

flip in the past two months. We have no proof but suspect Angela was pressured by Michael Heise and the Texas MC to re-hire him.

- Angela's decision to hire her own spouse under these conditions seems like she is out of touch with reality. She informed the full LNC only after he had already been hired for a 3-month period. She apparently privately got the "nod of approval" from the Treasurer, and the EPCC (inexplicably). In her private announcements to the LNC and the public list about Austin's hiring, she did not even mention her relationship with him. The LNC conflict of interest form was updated without an announcement of this addition.
 - This is crucial too: When Miguel even tries to hint to his Region 1 chairs what's going on, someone reveals their discussion to Angela and Caryn Ann who then pounce to try to shut him up. Even if what he's saying isn't an actual legal risk issue, just something that was said in the LNC private list.
 - Now Caryn Ann, Steven Nekhaila, and others are calling for Miguel to resign, and privately discussing censuring him, for sharing just a hint of this information with YOU, the Region 1 chairs and members. They are saying he's putting the organization at legal risk, that he should leave to heal the dysfunction on the board, and threatening him with censure.
- ◆ **Finally, and most importantly: All of this is only ever spoken about on the confidential list, not open to the public.**
 - ◆ Miguel and Anna have offered criticism privately to Angela: first encouragingly, then more firmly, then more harshly when she continuously fails to listen. Angela's responses:
 - *"Instead of helping you're giving me shit. I won't come to you anymore, forget it"*
 - *"I dont need your concern trolling or accusing me of being erratic ... It's incredibly condescending and disingenuous. I'll be fine without you sending me that, or sending me CLC takes. Go join them if you like"*
 - *"Don't send me any more messages like this or I'll block you"*
 - ◆ Angela's responses to public criticism: *"Behave professionally or tender your resignation. If you have a grievance with me personally, you can address it personally and professionally, like an adult."*
 - ◆ **Angela and the LNC and Michael Heise want to punish and silence the people who are dissenting and criticizing instead of taking a good hard look at themselves. On top of all their failures, this is one of the most alarming. They care more about their own image and public perception than they do about delivering on the promises their loyal supporters and volunteers hired them to fulfill. They have now resorted to flat-out dishonesty and backstabbing their former friends.**

SO WHO CAN WE TRUST ON THE LNC?

- ◆ Martin Cowen, an alternate who cannot vote, and Dustin Blankenship have been receptive to hashing out issues and willing to vote accordingly.
- ◆ Marc Tuniewicz (often nicknamed “Tuna” or “Marx” or “Marx Tunafish” in private chats), who is likely the one who leaked Anna’s email, is saying the right things—probably because he wants to hurt Angela’s reputation and run for Chair or another position against her. He has a pattern of grandstanding and not really doing much else to address things. He also refused to cosponsor Miguel’s motion to pause the EPCC.
- ◆ Several others are decent people, but are seemingly afraid to say anything for all the reasons described above.
- ◆ Several others probably are only vaguely aware of everything that’s gone on, would rather avoid thinking about it, or don’t have the bandwidth to keep up.
- ◆ Several others have chosen loyalty to Angela or Heise over true honesty, transparency, and transformation.
- ◆

SO WHAT DO WE DO NOW?

- ◆ Keeping criticism private has been tried many times and failed. That is why Angela is insisting on it, so she can ignore it. Trying to avoid “conflict, feeling uncomfortable” and hoping this issue goes away is not an option for Washington or for Miguel. **This is the entire reason we joined the LP: To transform it.**
- ◆ Miguel and Anna will continue telling the truth about what is going on. You can ask him to resign, and he might, or could be removed, but it will not stop us.
- ◆ If you wish to not be part of any of this, get a replacement R1 Rep and Alt who will simply take notes and not ruffle feathers. If you insist on these issues being addressed, Miguel is asking for your confidence to do what he believes best, for the members who voted for the transformation of the Libertarian Party.
- ◆ As far as Angela: Washington has lost confidence in Angela, and is undecided on actually voting YES on no confidence, depending on what happens in the next few days, but we believe the **motion should be brought to a vote** so these issues can be aired. As well as a motion to reverse Angela’s hiring of her domestic partner, a motion to end the EPCC, and motions to reverse numerous operational blunders.

WHERE DO WE GO AFTER THAT?

- ◆ The structure of the LNC is fundamentally broken. No matter who is in charge, the same dysfunction and time-consuming parliamentary procedure plagues the party. Merely electing “better” people is pointless. Several reasons:
 - Too many committees, too much role & management confusion, too much time wasted for motivated high-achievers to tolerate.
 - Size: With 25 seats, the discussion is unwieldy, members are disengaged, leaks are frequent, and internal division grows while factions vie for power.
 - No working mechanisms for trust among staff and board. When all criticism is suppressed, how can necessary feedback be implemented?
- ◆ Between now and DC the entire party needs to come together to propose **radically new bylaws and structure**: redefining the actual **purpose** of the National Party, **dissolving committees** and transferring their functions to staff, **reducing the size and scope of LNC**, and enforcing self-policing on the board and Chair. We will need a **lean and mean team of truly principled leaders** who won't make excuses, point fingers, and bend the truth in pursuit of their own selfish interests.
- ◆ **At the macro level, libertarians who dream of challenging the cult of statism and achieving a political, cultural, societal rEVOLution of self-ownership and freedom MUST break free from toxic and limiting cults of personality that have plagued the movement for decades. Think of Ayn Rand, Murray Rothbard, Milton Friedman, Samuel E. Konkin III, etc. All of these powerful figures made tremendous contributions to libertarian thought and activism. However, we as libertarians despite being philosophically individualist, too often do we fall prey to putting too much hope and trust into talented but flawed people who cast a compelling vision with grandiose promises, who then get a taste for the limelight and resort to clout chasing and laziness, using the blind loyalty of followers to shield themselves from criticism and stall progress. We are running out of time. Our enemy the Cult has been thinking and playing on the multi-generational scale, and We the People are very far behind in securing the continuation of our lives and liberties. We have only each other now to rely on when times get much tougher, when the omnipotent state ratchets down even harder, and every other freedom-loving community has been divided and destroyed. There can be absolutely no backstabbing or petty politicking if we are to build a community that can withstand the tyranny that lies ahead and its inevitable self-destruction and devastation. Get the fuck off social media and stop feeding the egos of all the fake bitches who are happy to take your money and attention and lifeforce. Focus on the real relationships with real people in your life who will ride out the storm with you until the end. **PEACE AND FREEDOM** 🙌🙌🙌**